

PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Grading Scale: 0 to 10

A) Innovation of the Proposal Grade _____
 Rate the novelty of the proposal.

B) Clinical Importance and Potential Impact Grade _____
 Assess the importance of the proposed findings for increased understanding of clinically relevant issues, such as diagnosis, therapy, prediction of prognosis, and understanding of pathogenesis.

C) Adequacy of the Experimental Design Grade _____
 The experimental design must be directed towards examining relevant hypotheses. Methodology must be sufficiently detailed to allow assessment of feasibility. Standard quality criteria for pre-clinical and clinical trials should be systematically reviewed. Statistics must be detailed.

D) Qualifications and Experience of Principal Investigator Grade _____
 Based on curriculum vitae and track record, determine if the expertise is adequate for the proposed research.

TOTAL

1. Duration of Proposed Research *(please circle)* **Inadequate** **Adequate**
 Consider the proposed duration and determine if adequate. For clinical studies, carefully consider the lead time for recruiting patients, and whether the proposed studies are feasible within the timeframe proposed.

2. Feasibility of Budget *(please circle)* **Inadequate** **Adequate**
 Evaluate appropriateness of experimental costs. Note that institutional overhead must be capped at 15% and technical overhead capped at 30% of the total grant amount for these grants.

Comments: _____

Overall Classification: Outstanding For Discussion Unacceptable